



Program Review Committee

June 29, 2022; 9-10am PT

Phone: 1 (669) 224-3412 Access Code: 579-203-685

<https://meet.goto.com/579203685>

Sector	Representatives (bold in attendance)
RAPC/Participant Investor-owned Utilities (IOUs)	Phil Haines Camille Christen Ryan Atkins Mike Goodenough
RAPC/Participant Publicly-owned utilities (POUs)	Barbara Cenalmor (Jerret Fischer as alternate) Garrett Schilling Garrison Marr Ray Johnson
RAPC/Participant Retail Competition Load Responsible Entity (LRE)	Ian White Mark Smith
Federal Power Marketing Administration	Jeff Cook Rachel Dibble
Independent power producers/marketers	Andrew Sharer John Cooper
Public interest organizations	Robin Arnold Fred Huetten
Retail customer advocacy group	Anna DeMers
Industrial customer advocacy group	Tyler Pepple
Load Serving Entity (LSE) (or representative) with loads in the WRAP represented by another LRE and otherwise not eligible for any other sector	Chris Allen
COSR	Tammy Cordova

Meeting Objectives

1. Open discussion on change request form

Discussion Topics

- I. Agenda Overview
- II. Feedback from COSR reps meeting
 - a. *Overview of feedback*
 - b. *Discussion on timeline – workplan is multi-year and will be updated annually, but not all concepts need to be updated within the year*
 - c. *Discussion of if a concept is not chosen for a workplan – have discussed prioritization, but may need more discussion on who can remove an item (PRC, sponsor, etc.)*

Program Review Committee

June 29, 2022; 9-10am PT

Phone: 1 (669) 224-3412 Access Code: 579-203-685

<https://meet.goto.com/579203685>

- i. *It would be good to give some feedback to sponsor about why a concept was not input into workplan – some feedback would be ideal*
 - ii. *We could work in feedback on why some concepts were ranked higher than other as a way to give feedback*
 - d. *Most prudent takeaway from timeline is the deadline to accept concepts that will be considered for the next workplan*
 - i. *The rest of the timeline (blue and black sections) will be highly variable*
 - ii. *Ping-pong will be more consistent throughout all proposals*
 - e. *Timeline question and mid-year additions*
 - f. *Role of sponsor – sponsor should have a role but need more discussion on what that should be/how it will work*
 - g. *Review of concepts – timeline and process*
 - i. *May need minimal review as they come in to help encourage combining as appropriate*
 - ii. *May need to move deadline for concepts up to allow modifications*
 - h. *Question about technology included in process (more manual or web portal for submitting/feedback on status/comments/etc.)*
 - i. *We are interested in a some sort of technology solution*
 - ii. *Interested in dashboard showing where we are at in ping-pong process*
 - i. *WPP will update timeline*
- III. *Change Request Form*
 - a. *Simple and easy to understand*
 - b. *May want to get more information when we ask for a specific document*
 - c. *Suggestion to take out the If statements – roles and responsibility of sponsor*
 - i. *May want to see how this goes in dry run*
 - ii. *Support for the if language – is less intimidating*
 - iii. *Could add a preface: Please provide the following information if known or available, or put into a parenthesis*
 - d. *Confusion about difference between change and enhancement*
 - e. *WPP will update draft form based on feedback*
 - f.
- IV. *Gathering Sector input on workplan development process*
 - a. *Coordinating with your sector's NC representative*
 - b. *PRC and NC Rep: https://www.westernpowerpool.org/private-media/documents/NC_and_PRC_Press_release.pdf*
 - c. *We have contact lists for some sectors but not all*
 - d. *We are very interested maintaining sectors lists and we can also help connect you with*
- V. *Next:*
 - a. *Sector feedback*