



# Program Review Committee

July 13, 2022; 9-10am PPT

Phone: 1 (669) 224-3412 Access Code: 579-203-685

<https://meet.goto.com/579203685>

Sector	Representatives (bold in attendance)
RAPC/Participant Investor-owned Utilities (IOUs)	<b>Phil Haines</b> <b>Camille Christen</b> <b>Ryan Atkins</b> <b>Mike Goodenough</b>
RAPC/Participant Consumer-owned utilities (COUs)	Barbara Cenalmor (Jerret Fischer as alternate) <b>Garrett Schilling</b> <b>Garrison Marr</b> <b>Ray Johnson</b>
RAPC/Participant Retail Competition Load Responsible Entity (LRE)	<b>Ian White</b> Mark Smith
Federal Power Marketing Administration	Jeff Cook ( <b>Eddie Elizeh</b> as alternate) Rachel Dibble ( <b>Eddie Elizeh</b> as alternate)
Independent power producers/marketers	<b>Andrew Sharer</b> <b>John Cooper</b>
Public interest organizations	<b>Robin Arnold</b> <b>Fred Huette</b>
Retail customer advocacy group	Anna DeMers
Industrial customer advocacy group	<b>Tyler Pepple</b>
Load Serving Entity (LSE) (or representative) with loads in the WRAP represented by another LRE and otherwise not eligible for any other sector	<b>Chris Allen</b>
COSR	<b>Tammy Cordova</b>

## Meeting Objectives

1. Discuss any feedback from sectors
2. Discuss expanded ping-pong and workplan development process

## Discussion Topics

- I. Agenda Overview
- II. Sector Feedback – workplan development
  - a. *Question from COSR for more detail on Level of Effort scoring – is there any other subjective criteria so evaluate or is there a way to flesh that out more?*
    - i. *This can be layered into the next level of detail*



# Program Review Committee

July 13, 2022; 9-10am PPT

Phone: 1 (669) 224-3412 Access Code: 579-203-685

<https://meet.goto.com/579203685>

---

- ii. *Question of whether there can be more object criteria brought into this evaluation*
      - iii. *Comm. Cordova will ask for ideas from her sector*
  - III. Expanded ping-pong
    - a. Walk through on expanded ping-pong
      - i. *Concept list could have template (with assigning level of effort/other criteria to concepts)*
      - ii. *Idea for streamlines alternative for noncontroversial concepts*
      - iii. *How will prioritization of proposals that take more than 1 year work with*
    - b. WP cadence
      - i. *Biannual refresh of workplan*
      - ii. *Re-prioritization of longer-term items*
    - c. Process for BOD review/approval of WP
      - i. *BOD submits comments with all others*
      - ii. *PRC responding to comments –*
        - 1. *Would be a lot of work, but could be very helpful and prevent more work/confusion in the future*
        - 2. *Could respond to comments that we are not acting on and why*
        - 3. *Suggestion for Board member liaison*
    - d. How TFs make decisions
    - e. Role of concept lead sponsor
    - f. Task force procedures
  - IV. Next:
    - a. Additional sector feedback
    - b. Continued discussion/refinement of process
      - i. *Continue today's discussion*