

Meeting Objectives

1. Discuss PRC proposal review process

Sector	Representatives (bold in attendance)
RAPC/Participant Investor-owned Utilities (IOUs)	Phil Haines (Sachi Begur as alternate) Camille Christen Ryan Atkins Mike Goodenough
RAPC/Participant Publicly-owned utilities (POUs)	Barbara Cenalmor Garrett Schilling Garrison Marr Ray Johnson
RAPC/Participant Retail Competition Load Responsible Entity (LRE)	Ian White Mark Smith
Federal Power Marketing Administration	Jeff Cook (Eddie Elizeh as alternate) Rachel Dibble (Eddie Elizeh as alternate)
Independent power producers/marketers	Andrew Sharer John Cooper
Public interest organizations	Robin Arnold Fred Huetten
Retail customer advocacy group	Anna DeMers
Industrial customer advocacy group	Tyler Pepple
Load Serving Entity (LSE) (or representative) with loads in the WRAP represented by another LRE and otherwise not eligible for any other sector	Chris Allen
COSR	Tammy Cordova

Discussion Topics

- I. Agenda Overview
- II. Housekeeping
 - a. Meetings moved per request at last meeting due to BPA customer conflicts
- III. Proposal Review Process
 - a. Expanded ping-pong
 - b. *Public comments: Who should set timeline for comments? (suggest: TF recommends, PRC sets?) Should there be a webinar / discussion on proposals – all proposal, some?*

(suggest: TF recommends yes/no on webinar, PRC approves. Webinar is hosted by WPP with technical support from WPP, SPP, AND TF)

- i. *Suggestion for default/standard comment period (with ability for exceptions to make longer for complicated proposals)*
 - ii. *Default of 2-3 weeks*
 - iii. *Use webinars as a toolbox item*
 - c. *Updating proposal based on public comment: What is the PRC role vs TF role in receiving and 'dealing with' comments? Should it be the TF that's doing the 'updating' of the proposal? Does the PRC just get informed?*
 - i. *Added TF action box to address comments – PRC informed/giving guidance*
 - ii. *Set up meeting with COSR team to discuss COSR comments process*
 - d. *PA/PO Feasibility: Can/should we remove this since the PA/PO will be part of TF and get the feasibility review in blue section? Consider: at what point are costs, schedules, etc. fully fleshed out? (consider: if we do this earlier, folks have more information to react to – if we do this later, we ensure the proposal being priced is the one actually being recommended and avoid additional work)*
 - i. *Leave box, update terminology*
 - e. *Adding decision box for PRC endorsement*
 - f. *Suggestion to host virtual study sessions with Board*
 - g. *PRC to read through ping-pong and come to next meeting ready to discuss*
- IV. Next:
 - a. COSR/RAPC process
 - b. Continued discussion/refinement of process