

Participant	Name	Participant	Name
APS	Brian Cole	PacifiCorp	Mike Wilding
Avista	Kevin Holland	PGE	Dee Outama
BPA	Suzanne Cooper	Powerex	Mark Holman
Calpine	Bill Goddard	PSE	Phil Haines
Chelan		PNM	Steve Maestas
Clatskanie	Chris Roden	SRP	Grant Smedley
EWEB		SCL	Siobhan Doherty
Grant	Rich Flanigan	Shell	
Idaho	Ben Brandt	Snohomish PUD	Jeff Kallstrom
NorthWestern	Joe Stimatz	Tacoma	Ray Johnson
NV Energy	Lindsey Schlekeway	TEA	Ed Mount

### Objectives

1. Provide the RAPC with updates on project progress
2. Seek RAPC input on progress and any administrative actions

### Meeting Agenda

Call to Order	
10:00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Attendance</li> <li>2. Anti-trust Statement</li> <li>3. Approve Agenda <i>SRP moves and Calpine seconds to approve the agenda. The motion is passed unanimously at 10:04 AM.</i></li> <li>4. Approve Minutes from last meeting <i>APS moves and TEA seconds to approve the minutes. The motion is passed unanimously at 10:05 AM</i></li> </ol>
PA/PO Report	
10:06	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. PA Update <i>The Board approved BPM 105, 201, and 206 on 8/23. Discussed last minute CAISO tariff changes on curtailment priority for transmission – WPP is optimistic about the change and will continue to engage with CAISO moving forward. The board also discussed the 2025 Summer and 2025-2026 Winter Study Scope. Final BPMs will be posted on the WPP website – version control is being implemented.</i></li> </ol>
Ongoing Business	
10:10	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. Workgroup Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Forward Showing Workgroup – Rebecca</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

	<p><i>Talked through results from Winter 2024-2025 Study. Having reviewed outcomes, the next step is to make aggregate information public. FS workbook has been updated and is due October 31<sup>st</sup>.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Operations Workgroup – Ryan R. <i>Discussed upcoming structured testing in September. Have been hosting one-on-one meetings to discuss implementation of the operations program. Sharing calculation inputs, energy deployment, holdback BPMs to be in front of the workgroup shortly.</i></li> <li>- Storage Hydro User group – Steve B.</li> <li>- Single-Entity Subregion Connectivity – Rebecca</li> <li>- MBR Workgroup - Mike W.</li> <li>- Market Impacts on Sub-Region Connectivity Workgroup – Tyler M. <i>Draft document created for question 1 of the charter – found in the workgroup’s SharePoint. More revision and discussion to come.</i></li> <li>- Long-Term Metrics Workgroup – Philip P.</li> </ul>
	<p>7. Summer Study Scope <i>SPP describes the LOLE study and QCC study for Summer 2025. Will be linking the Summer and following Winter study together for a December data request. In discussion, the ‘must-run’ language is questioned for future studies – do we need to move to an approach more consistent with actual operation? APS expresses the desire to continue discussing this topic within FS WG. APS asks for a clarification that it is a requirement to put real data for established wind and solar resources - SPP confirms. Idaho moves and BPA seconds to endorse the 2025 Summer and 2025-2026 Winter Advanced Assessment Scope of work. The motion is approved unanimously at 10:34 AM.</i></p>
	<p>8. Binding Participants Mockup Study <i>Participants are interested in having a mockup study to provide additional information for participants binding in Summer 2026. Intent to re-run the Summer 2025 study exclusively with those binding in Summer 2026 to provide insight on monthly PRMs. WPP requests further discussion on timing of the mockup study and Participants looking to be binding. Calpine requests an update on JCAFs = WPP is working on BPM 108 which discusses the topic.</i></p>
	<p>9. Non-Binding Program Expectations <i>WPP discusses the need for good data in non-binding (beginning November 1<sup>st</sup>) to see how the program performs, as well as the expectation for Participants to provide holdback (if offered) at the settlement pricing. If Participants cannot meet data or settlement requirements, WPP can work to continue the interim program. On this topic, the MBR workgroup will be picking back up to discuss settlement pricing. WPP asks Participants if additional support is needed to assist November 1<sup>st</sup> readiness.</i></p>

	<i>In discussion, NWE expresses desire to see 'real numbers' in non-binding – WPP notes varying degrees of readiness among Participants. Do Participants want to settle at a rate (or allocate holdback) possibly determined by suboptimal data? PAC calls to continue this conversation in a closed session. PWX requests WPP to start calculating what settlement prices may be. Calpine asks about transmission exception expectations – WPP expects people to use one exception in the binding program. WPP is actively developing a better idea of what kind of data we are receiving. WPP will work on breaking down non-binding concerns for future discussion.</i>
New Business	
11:22	10. BPM 109 – Forward Showing Transition Period <i>Will be released for public comment August 25<sup>th</sup> until September 18<sup>th</sup>. RAPC suggested policy changes and formal comments will be considered within this comment period.</i>
External Affairs	
	[None]
Good of the Order	
11:35	11. Participant topics requests for next meeting <i>PAC requests closed session on binding season timing and concerns. PAC also requests non-binding operations program and expectations discussion continued. Portland town hall September 7<sup>th</sup> – if interested in attending, contact WPP.</i>
Closed RAPC	
	[None]
Upcoming	
11:38	12. Next Meeting: September 14 <sup>th</sup>
<i>All items discussed. Meeting is adjourned at 11:39 AM</i>	

Current Participants: APS, Avista; BPA; Calpine; Chelan; Clatskanie; EWEB; Grant; Idaho Power; NorthWestern; NV Energy; PacifiCorp; PGE; Powerex; PNM; PSE; SRP; SCL; Shell; Snohomish PUD; Tacoma Power, The Energy Authority

**WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.**