

## Western Resource Adequacy Program RAPC Meeting

March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2024; 10am-12pm PPT

Participant	Name	Participant	Name
APS	Kent Walter	PacifiCorp	Ben Faulkinberry, Mike
			Wilding
Avista	Kevin Holland	PGE	Jonah Cabral
BPA	Suzanne Cooper	Powerex	Mike Goodenough
Calpine	Bill Goddard	PSE	Philip Haines
Chelan	Brandon Carnahan	PNM	Steve Maestas
Clatskanie	Chris Roden	SRP	Grant Smedley
EWEB	Kevin Cardoza	SCL	Siobhan Doherty
Grant	Rich Flannigan	Shell	lan White
Idaho	Ben Brandt	Snohomish PUD	
NorthWestern	Joe Stimatz	Tacoma	Ray Johnson
NV Energy	Lindsey Schlekeway	TEA	Ed Mount

### Objectives

- 1. Provide the RAPC with updates on project progress
- 2. Seek RAPC input on progress and any administrative actions

#### Meeting Agenda

Call to Order		
	1.	Attendance
	2.	Anti-trust Statement
	3.	Approve Agenda
10:00	4.	<ul> <li>Idaho moves and Calpine seconds to approve the agenda. WPP suggests the addition of Business Practice Manual 207 Settlement Process. TEA moves and Calpine seconds to add this item to the agenda. The agenda is approved unanimously at 10:06 AM.</li> <li>Approve Minutes from last meeting Shell moves and Grant seconds to approve the minutes. The minutes are approved unanimously at 10:07 AM.</li> </ul>
PA/PO Re	port	·
	5.	PA/PO Update
10:07		Last week the Board approved BPMs 104, 107, 108, and 304. Discussed BPMs 203
		and 209. Two weeks from now, there is an in-person meeting. Need contact
		information from Participants for attendance.
Ongoing Business		



Western Resource Adequacy Program RAPC Meeting March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2024; 10am-12pm PPT

	6. Workgroup Updates
	– Forward Showing Workgroup – Rebecca S.
	FS Cure period is over. Those who did not cure deficiencies will see notices.
	Advance Assessment data was due 2 weeks ago, and still missing some
	submissions. Two weeks from now Winter 24-25 showing due. Updated workbook
	available on the WPP SharePoint, was reviewed in the FS workgroup.
	– Operations Workgroup – Ryan R.
	Operations workgroups meetings will be shorter during the off season. Will
	resume two-hour meetings in May. End of season summary report coming.
	– Storage Hydro User group – <i>Steve B.</i>
	– Single Entity Subregion Connectivity – <i>Rebecca S.</i>
	– MBR Workgroup - <i>Mike W.</i>
	<ul> <li>Market Impacts on Sub-Region Connectivity Workgroup – Tyler M.</li> </ul>
	7. Business Practice Manual Discussion
	WPP provides an update on the BPM review process.
	– BPM 102 Reliability Metric Setting – <i>Discuss</i>
	Updates have been made to this BPM based on public comment process. Is
	currently in standby until Tariff updates can be made. Grant Smedley asks when
	102 will be presented to the Board. 102 will come to the Board in September with
10:10	Non-Task Force Proposal for Tariff changes.
	– BPM 106 Qualifying Contracts – <i>Discuss</i>
	Soon to come for a RAPC Glance. Describes qualifying contracts. Discusses how
	contracts are treated in FS demonstration. BPM is limited in progress by necessary
	tariff change to definition of system sales. In discussion, Joe Stimatz asks about the
	meaning of "qualified" in System Sales. Means PO has deemed that the
	requirements to be part of the FS have been met.
	– BPM 203 Sharing Calculation Inputs – <i>Discuss</i>
	Rejected by RAPC. Going to put this BPM back through the public comment
	process with some language changes to address concerns. In discussion, Grant
	Smedley asks how changes could have been made without going into another
	public comment window. Wordsmithing would have to be complete a few weeks
	prior to RAPC Approval session. Follow-up – if RAPC had successfully agreed on
	language, it could have been approved with a higher voting threshold. Steve
	Bellcoff asks if the comment period will be targeted to specific concerns. WPP will
	<i>be focusing questions on the new language added. Intended for approval in June.</i>
	– BPM 209 Energy Delivery Failure Charge – <i>Discuss</i>
	Rejected by RAPC. Going to put this BPM back through the public comment
	process with some language changes to address concerns. Intended for approval
	in June.
	– BPM 207 – <i>Discuss</i>



# Western Resource Adequacy Program RAPC Meeting March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2024; 10am-12pm PPT

<ul> <li>9. JCAF Discussion Draft incoming with BPM 106. WPP provides tables to describe resource specific capacity agreements and system sales between buyers and sellers who are Participants and Non-Participants. In discussion, Steve Bellcoff asks for clarification on Participants selling to Non-Participants – intention is that a JCAF need only be submitted once for the term of the contract, with an attestation each time. Mike Wilding expresses concerns about the administrative burden to provide JCAF's each year, and with Participant-Participant interaction consistency with the Tariff. WPP's understanding of past conversations is that it is the preference to not provide contracts to the PA/PO – in this case the Participant buyer provides one JCAF and attests moving forward that they have the same condition. PAC expresses concern with the burden of providing annual JCAFs for Non-Participant interaction. The purpose of annual JCAF is to ensure resource still meets the needs of the WRAP. Ben Brandt expresses that should penalties go to the Participant, the JCAF may be an unnecessary lift. Kent Walter, Grant Smedley, and Steve Bellcoff express concerns for effort involved in providing annual attestation from sellers. </li> </ul>		Settlement process. Stood up settlement process workgroup to discuss ideas for
<ul> <li>8. Program Review Committee Representation After the previous BPM cycle, WPP received questions about BPM review process. WPP describes the BPM process flow chart. WPP encourages Participant engagement in the public comment stage. The PRC operates as the first step for reviewing BPMs post comments. 20 members in PRC represent 10 sectors. 12 members are Participants. The PRC endeavors to operate by consensus – what leaves the PRC goes to the RAPC. If it is approved or RAPC makes changes, the voting threshold raises to 75%. WPP provides an updated contact list for PRC sector representatives – encourages engagement across the sector regarding PRC process and representative replacement (as necessary). In discussion, Kent Walter asks how improvements can be implementing BPMs to set a baseline for the program. After the September wave of BPMs, hoping to move forward with the Stakeholder Process and allow Participant submission of Concepts. WPP provides a brief description of the Stakeholder process, described further in BPMs 301, 302, and 303. 9. JCAF Discussion Draft incoming with BPM 106. WPP provides tables to describe resource specific capacity agreements and system sales between buyers and sellers who are Participants selling to Non-Participants – intention is that a ICAF need only be submitted once for the term of the contract, with an attestation each time. Mike Wilding expresses concerns about the administrative burden to provide scone JCAF and attests moving forward that they have the same condition. PAC expresses concern with the burden of providing nual JCAFs for Non-Participant interaction. The purpose of annual JCAF is to ensure resource still meets the needs of the WRAP. Ben Brandt expresses that should penalties go to the Participant, the JCAF may be an unnecessary lift. Kent Walter, Grant Smedley, and Steve Bellcoff expresses concerns for effort involved in providing annual attestation from sellers. External Affairs</li></ul>		settlement information that will be posted, how information is accessed, the timeline for calculations, and the dispute resolution process. Coming for public
<ul> <li>After the previous BPM cycle, WPP received questions about BPM review process. WPP describes the BPM process flow chart. WPP encourages Participant engagement in the public comment stage. The PRC operates as the first step for reviewing BPMs post comments. 20 members in PRC represent 10 sectors. 12 members are Participants. The PRC endeavors to operate by consensus – what leaves the PRC goes to the RAPC. If it is approved or RAPC makes changes, the voting threshold raises to 75%. WPP provides an updated contact list for PRC sector representatives – encourages engagement across the sector regarding PRC process and representative replacement (as necessary). In discussion, Kent Walter asks how improvements can be implemented into the program. WPP is first and foremost focusing on implementing BPMs to set a baseline for the program. After the September wave of BPMs, hoping to move forward with the Stakeholder Process and allow Participant submission of Concepts. WPP provides a brief description of the Stakeholder process, described further in BPMs 301, 302, and 303.</li> <li>JCAF Discussion Draft incoming with BPM 106. WPP provides tables to describe resource specific capacity agreements and system sales between buyers and sellers who are Participants and Non-Participants – intention is that a ICAF need only be submitted once for the term of the contract, with an attestation each time. Mike Wilding expresses concerns about the administrative burden to provide JCAF's each year, and with Participant-Participant interaction consistency with the Tariff. WPP's understanding of past conversations is that it is the preference to not provide contracts to the PA/PO – in this case the Participant buyer provides one JCAF and attests moving forward that they have the same condition. PAC expresses concern with the burden of providing annual JCAFs for Non-Participant interaction. The purpose of annual JCAF is to ensure resource still meets the needs of the WRAP. Ben Brandt expresses that should penalites go to t</li></ul>	New Busi	ness
	10:50	<ul> <li>After the previous BPM cycle, WPP received questions about BPM review process. WPP describes the BPM process flow chart. WPP encourages Participant engagement in the public comment stage. The PRC operates as the first step for reviewing BPMs post comments. 20 members in PRC represent 10 sectors. 12 members are Participants. The PRC endeavors to operate by consensus – what leaves the PRC goes to the RAPC. If it is approved by the PRC the voting threshold is lowered in the RAPC (67%). If it is not approved or RAPC makes changes, the voting threshold raises to 75%. WPP provides an updated contact list for PRC sector representatives – encourages engagement across the sector regarding PRC process and representative replacement (as necessary). In discussion, Kent Walter asks how improvements can be implemented into the program. WPP is first and foremost focusing on implementing BPMs to set a baseline for the program. After the September wave of BPMs, hoping to move forward with the Stakeholder Process and allow Participant submission of Concepts. WPP provides a brief description of the Stakeholder process, described further in BPMs 301, 302, and 303.</li> <li>JCAF Discussion</li> <li>JCAF Discussion</li> <li>Draft incoming with BPM 106. WPP provides tables to describe resource specific capacity agreements and system sales between buyers and sellers who are Participants and Non-Participants. In discussion, Steve Bellcoff asks for clarification on Participants selling to Non-Participants – intention is that a JCAF need only be submitted once for the term of the contract, with an attestation each time. Mike Wilding expresses concerns about the administrative burden to provide JCAF's each year, and with Participant -Participant interaction consistency with the Tariff. WPP's understanding of past conversations is that it is the preference to not provide contracts to the PA/PO – in this case the Participant buyer provides one JCAF and attests moving forward that they have the same condition. PAC ex</li></ul>
		[None]



## Western Resource Adequacy Program RAPC Meeting

March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2024; 10am-12pm PPT

Good of the Order		
11:55	10. Participant topics requests for next meeting	
	No Participant requests for topics.	
Closed RAPC		
	[None]	
Upcoming		
11:55	11. Next meeting: March 28 <sup>th</sup> – In-Person	
Meeting is adjourned at 11:55 AM.		

**Current Participants:** APS, Avista; BPA; Calpine; Chelan; Clatskanie; EWEB; Grant; Idaho Power; NorthWestern; NV Energy; PacifiCorp; PGE; Powerex; PNM; PSE; SRP; SCL; Shell; Snohomish PUD; Tacoma Power, The Energy Authority

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.