

Task Force Member Attendance:

Organization	Name
APS	Brandon Holmes Xinyue Fan Narup Akhil Mandadi
IPC	Nicole Blackwell Andres Valdepena Delgado
NVE	Lindsey Schlekeway Rodger Mazano
BPA	Anthony Lusardi Steve Belcoff
Powerex	Michael Goodenough Glen Tang Ryan Holyk
Tacoma	Leah Marquez Glynn Thad LeVar Carly Page Connor Lennon
TEA	Ed Mount
PSE	Sachi Begur Phil Haines
PGE	Stefan Cristea Devin Mounts Teyent Gossa

Meeting Agenda

1. Metric setting and risks (See PowerPoint)

Discussion Point:

- **PRM and P50 are interrelated:** While PRM is the official focus of the task force, P50 (load forecast methodology) is tightly linked and needs some level of discussion to ensure sound PRM calculation.
- **Risk Considerations:** WPP emphasized managing volatility by locking in realistic P50 values and considering timing of PRM/ELCC/load binding. Participants questioned whether those components should remain on staggered timelines.
- **Timeline Flexibility:** Discussion acknowledged that ELCC and PRM don't need to be calculated simultaneously; a bifurcated approach could work.
- **P50 Volatility:** Concerns raised about how recent years' weather/load volatility affects reliability of P50s. Participants expressed interest in more transparent methodologies or tools for calculating P50.
- **Agreement Forming:** Consensus to continue using current P50 timing for now, but flag need to revisit methodology and assumptions in future task force on Load Forecasting

2. Task Force scope

Discussion Point:

- **Primary Focus:** PRM calculation methodology and timing.
- **P50 Discussion:** Considered partially in scope, mainly in support of accurate PRM development. Deeper reform to P50 would be routed to future task forces (e.g., Load Forecasting TF).



Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force - PRM Meeting

July 31st, 2025; 12-1pm PPT

- **Avoiding Scope Creep:** Large-scale load growth and ELCC refinements are mostly out of scope but can be flagged for future action.
- **Coordination with Other TFs:** If gaps or overlaps are identified (e.g., ELCC timing or P50 methodology), this TF will recommend action to future groups. Could establish guiding principles for future task forces handling load forecasting and ELCC.
- **Next Steps:**
 - Begin drafting a concept paper focused on PRM methodology and timeline for September in-person meeting.
 - Leave P50 timing unchanged for now but outline known concerns.

Key Takeaway:

While the official scope is PRM methodology, a limited review of P50 (especially its role as the denominator in PRM) is necessary. Broader changes to P50 or ELCC timelines will/could be reserved for future task forces but should be clearly flagged by this group for handoff.

3. Planning for August 5th in person

- a. Logistics
- b. Summary of levers with pros/cons

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.