

Task Force Member Attendance:

Organization	Name
APS	Brandon Holmes Xinyue Fan Narup Akhil Mandadi
IPC	Nicole Blackwell Andres Valdepena Delgado
NVE	Lindsey Schlekeway Rodger Mazano
BPA	Anthony Lusardi Steve Belcoff
Powerex	Michael Goodenough Glen Tang Ryan Holyk
Tacoma	Leah Marquez Glynn Thad LeVar Carly Page Connor Lennon
TEA	Ed Mount
PSE	Sachi Begur Phil Haines
PGE	Stefan Cristea Devin Mounts Teyent Gossa

Meeting Agenda

1. Methodology Proposal (See PowerPoint)

a. Seasonal LOLE

Discussion:

The group generally supported moving toward a seasonal LOLE approach as a practical way to better address challenges in shoulder months, though concerns remain around hydro variability, flat seasonal capacity requirements, and how deficiency charges interact with the new structure. Questions were also raised about whether ELCCs should align with PRM seasonally or remain monthly. While consensus is forming on the value of seasonal PRM with continued monthly QCCs, some issues—particularly hydro treatment, deficiency charges, and ELCC methodology—will require further exploration, with more complex topics deferred to future task force work. Support from all TF members for Seasonal LOLE, except NVE voiced concerns about moving to a Seasonal LOLE.

b. Shortening Winter Season (See PowerPoint)

c. TF can make recommendations for potential adjustment to FS Deficiency Charges (See PowerPoint)

Discussion:

- Need balance: avoid full forgiveness while keeping incentives to cover deficiencies.
- Example: In NW, even at \$16/kW-month, there's incentive to cover since alternatives may be cheaper.
- Suggested a systematic approach: apply additional monthly deficiency charges directly to the shoulder months deficiencies, instead of max-month using max months calculation for shoulder months. If this was done, no Transition Provision discount would be applied to those shoulder months. Ed will share supporting Excel and summary of his proposal.

- Ask the group if reducing charges during transition is beneficial, or if participants have concerns. Participants mentioned wanting some time to think this over.

Next Steps

- WPP + Ed (Co-Chair) will draft proposal language for the concept paper.
- Participants to take some time to review Ed's proposal for Deficiency Charges during Transition Period and encouraged to email feedback within two days.
- If no feedback received, WPP will assume support.

2. P50 Discussion Questions

a. What do folks need before September 10th or October 31st?

Timing & Specificity:

- Agreement that developing a concrete P50 approach by September 10 is unrealistic; will revisit later in the Task Force timeline.
- Recognized risk in "locking down" P50 for 5 years without further consideration.

Concept Paper Content:

- Will include binding PRM at 5 years and advisory PRM at 10 years (consensus).
- P50 stability is acknowledged as an important future discussion topic, but no formal recommendations will be included in the September concept paper.
- Proposal to add a "Features for Consideration" section to capture future topics like P50 stability.

Advisory PRM:

- Broad support for exploring an advisory PRM 10 years out.
- Recognized as a natural extension of the 5-year binding PRM already being adopted.

3. Next steps to get us to Sept 10th

- a. Action – WPP and Co-chairs take ideas we have reached consensus on and draft concept paper
- b. Meeting - August 26th: draft concept paper with clarifying questions for discussion
- c. Action – WPP and Co-chairs update concept paper and develop final draft
- d. Meeting - September 3rd: finalize concept paper for RAPC
- e. Action – WPP does any final clean-up and sends concept paper to RAPC September 4th

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.