

Task Force Member Attendance:

Organization	Name
APS	Tyler Moore Brandon Holmes
NVE	Rodger Manzano Lindsey Schlekeway
BPA	Matt Hayes Jarrett Civelli
IPC	Kathy Anderson / Ben Brandt / Camille Christen
Powerex	Derek Russell Robert Gosselin
TEA	Ed Mount / Dan Williams / Laura Trolese
PSE	Phil Haines Sachi Begur Jason Miller
PGE	Teyent Gossa / Stefan Cristea
PCE Energy Solutions	Justin Shearer

Meeting Objective

- Coalesce around high-level draft proposals for Operations Program Energy Delivery Failures

Meeting Agenda

1. Agenda Overview
2. Proposal Scope - within context of Market-Based Operational Subregions (MBOS) (See PowerPoint)
 - Energy Delivery Failure

Energy Delivery Failure & Responsibility

- Agreement that WRAP should assign the delivery obligation to surplus participants.
- Surplus participants retain responsibility for energy delivery failures, whether within or between MBOS.
- WRAP's role is limited to setting the obligation and applying non-delivery charges; operational details should be managed within the relevant market structures.
- Discussion emphasized keeping WRAP's role simple: assign obligation, set charges, and let markets manage delivery.

Scenarios Considered

- Scenario A: Surplus participant offers into the market, resource dispatched, obligation fulfilled.
- Scenario B: Surplus participant offers into market, but resource not dispatched (cheaper resource used) or delivery fails; responsibility still lies with surplus participant, not the market operator.
- Concerns raised about ensuring proper verification and receipt data to determine responsibility in failure cases.

Verification & Coordination

- WRAP will likely need receipt or transaction data from market operators to verify delivery.

- Potential tension between WRAP's need for accountability and reliance on markets for operational information.
- Recognition that WRAP must stay aligned with market operators but avoid duplicating their processes.

Settlement Price

- Need to update applicable index prices for settlement within MBOS.
- The exact methodology for the Energy Delivery Failure charge may need to evolve as markets develop.
- Acknowledgment that some details cannot be resolved until market designs are finalized.

Waivers

- Market-specific examples for Energy Deployment Obligation waivers may need to be developed.
- Current waiver language may need refinement once day-ahead market issues are better understood.

Final Discussion Points:

- WRAP obligated surplus Participants will retain responsibility for Energy Delivery Failure within an MBOS.
- WRAP obligated surplus Participants will retain responsibility for Energy Delivery Failure between an MBOS.
- Remaining open questions (settlement price methodology, waiver specifics, detailed verification processes) will be revisited in September.

3. Concept Paper

Edits & Process

- Draft paper was reviewed live; edits were made in real time.
- Updates focused on:
 - Energy deployment timelines
 - Use of e-tags
 - Settlement pricing methodology

Energy Deployment Timing

- Consideration of moving to before the DA submission deadline a deficit participant's ability to specify Energy Deployment Amount up to T-85 on the operating day.
- Task Force will evaluate whether this flexibility causes capacity de-optimizations.
- Alternative proposal: align with day-ahead market deadlines (10 AM prior day), which may reduce uncertainty without requiring significant staff additions.
- General support for including this as a policy recommendation, even if not fully finalized.

E-Tags

- Agreement that e-tags will be required between markets.
- Within-market application of e-tags is more complex and still under discussion (particularly within Markets+).

Settlement Pricing

- Ongoing review of the rationale behind a 10% adder to settlement prices.
- Task Force is examining whether this methodology remains appropriate.

Next Steps

- Revised draft will be discussed at the next meeting.



Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force 01 - DAM Meeting

August 21st, 2025; 11:00-1:00 PPT

- Some issues (e.g., final settlement methodologies, intra-market e-tags) may require further input from markets and cannot be resolved immediately.
4. Consideration of internal/external expertise
 5. Next meeting: Tuesday August 26th 2:00-4:00 PPT

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.