

Task Force Member Attendance:

Organization	Name
APS	Brandon Holmes Xinyue Fan Narup Akhil Mandadi
IPC	Nicole Blackwell Andres Valdepena Delgado Ben Brandt
NVE	Lindsey Schlekeway Rodger Mazano
BPA	Anthony Lusardi Steve Belcoff
Powerex	Michael Goodenough Glen Tang Ryan Holyk
Tacoma	Leah Marquez Glynn Thad LeVar Carly Page Connor Lennon
TEA	Ed Mount
PSE	Sachi Begur Phil Haines
PGE	Stefan Cristea Devin Mounts Teyent Gossa

Meeting Agenda

1. Discussion with PAC

- Concerns raised over year-to-year volatility in ELCC results (up to 8 MW swings).
- Limited ability to react within 1.5 years; participants want more stability for planning.
- Suggestion: Fewer zones for variable energy resources (VERs) might reduce volatility.
- Counterpoints:
 - Larger zones could increase weather variability and risk.
 - Zones currently based on resource performance and transmission constraints.
- Discussed:
 - Defer ELCC timing and zone discussions to a future ELCC-by-Vintage Task Force (scope not yet defined).
 - Current PRM TF will focus on PRM; ELCC timing better handled by the future task force.

2. Concept Paper redlines

- Withdrawal Notice: Removed proposal to move to 5-year notice; maintain current 2-year provision.
- PRM Timing:
 - Concept paper now clarifies that setting PRMs ahead of the FS deadline may cause misalignment with exit provisions.
 - Advisory restudies will be used if participation changes meet a threshold (to be defined later).
- Next Steps:
 - Final redlines due after this meeting.
 - Participants will have one week to review.
 - Final concept paper to be endorsed Oct 7 for submission to RAPC on Oct 16.

3. Threshold for Restudy – continued

- Agreement to set 10% subregional net load change (including entrants and exits) as the trigger for restudy.
- If change is <10%, no restudy required.
- If $\geq 10\%$, restudy will occur (details of how SPP conducts studies are reference in Scenarios 1-3 in the slides)
- Consensus reached; no objections.

4. Timeline Transition

- Three options reviewed:
 - Gradual Transition – adds ~1 year at a time; most accurate proxies, longest transition.
 - Smaller Jumps – adds 2–3 years at a time; faster transition with moderate accuracy tradeoffs.
 - Big Jump – immediate shift to 5 years; fastest but riskiest (less accurate proxies, limited diversity captured).
- General preference: Option 1 or 2. Strong consensus that the “Big Jump” is too risky given market/subregional changes and new participants.
 - Use March 2026 data collection to study for W29-30 and S30
 - Revisit before March 2027 data collection to determine which Seasons to study
 - At the latest, the 5-year ahead study will be happening using the March 2029 data collection, but can reach the 5-year ahead goal before that time.

5. Next Steps:

- Prepare for endorsement of the concept paper at next week’s meeting before sending to RAPC.
- Seeking endorsement at 10/16 RAPC

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION/INFORMATION

In all scenarios, modeling will have been done through W27/28 (using data collected through Spring 2025) - use that modeling for those seasons. In all years, advisory PRMs will be provided (can be 10 years out); separating that timing conversation for now, for ease.

Gradual

In 2026, model PRMs for W29/30 and S30; use S30 as proxy for S28 and S29; use W29/30 as proxy for W28/29 (*same as small jump*)
In 2027, model PRMs for W31/32 and S32; use W31/32 as proxy for W30/31; use S32 as proxy for S31
In 2028, model PRMs for W33/34 and S34; use W33/34 as proxy for W32/33; use S34 as proxy for S33
In 2029, model PRMs for W35/36 and S36; use W35/36 as proxy for W34/35; use S36 as proxy for S35
In 2030, model PRMs for W36/37 and S37; all years 2030 and following will model PRMs for 6 and 11 years, as proposed.
Pro: Allows for most granular modeling (proxy values are most closely aligned to season they were modeled for)
Con: incrementally less lead-time knowing PRMs for the next four years.

Smaller Jumps

In 2026, model PRMs for W29/30 and S30; use S30 as proxy for S28 and S29; use W29/30 as proxy for W28/29
In 2027, model PRMs for W33/34 and S34; use W33/34 as proxy for W30/31, W31/32, W32/33; use S34 as proxy for S31, S32, S33
In 2028, model PRMs for W34/35 and S35; all years 2028 and following will model PRMs for 6 and 11 years, as proposed.
Pro: allows for more granular modeling of participation and resource/load changes in early years
Con: slower to arrive a 5-year notice

One Big Jump

In 2026, model PRM for W32/33 and S33 (6 years out, as proposed)
Use W32/33 PRMs as proxy for W28/29, W29/30, W30/31, W31/32; use S33 PRMs as proxy for S28, S29, S30, S31, S32
All years 2026 and following will model PRMs for 6 and 11 years, as proposed.
Pro: Quickest timeline to 5/10-year PRMs
Con: No LOLE modeling for S28-S32; early part of this window may be more likely to see participation changes.

Each color is one study-year (i.e., one Summer and one Winter study)
Darker highlight marks when we hit to 5-year (ahead of the FS Deadline) timeline

Bold marks the season each study is done for, not-bold will use the bold seasons as proxy

Data collection	1-Mar-25		1-Mar-26				1-Mar-27				1-Mar-28				1-Mar-29				1-Mar-30		
Proxy Used			S30	W29/30	S30			W31/32	S32			W33/34	S34			W35/36	S36				
PRMs approved	31-Jan-26	30-Jun-26			30-Jun-27	31-Jan-27			31-Mar-28	31-Oct-28			31-Mar-29	31-Oct-29			31-Mar-30	31-Oct-30	31-Mar-31	31-Oct-31	
Season	S27	W27-28	S28	W28-29	S29	W29-30	S30	W30-31	S31	W31-32	S32	W32-33	S33	W33-34	S34	W34-35	S35	W35-36	S36	W36-37	S37

Data collection	1-Mar-25		1-Mar-26					1-Mar-27						1-Mar-28			
Proxy Used			S30	W29/30	S30			W33/34	S34	W33/34	S34	W33/34	S34				
PRMs approved	31-Jan-26	30-Jun-26					30-Jun-27	31-Jan-27					30-Jun-28	31-Jan-28	31-Mar-29	31-Oct-29	
Season	S27	W27-28	S28	W28-29	S29	W29-30	S30	W30-31	S31	W31-32	S32	W32-33	S33	W33-34	S34	W34-35	S35

Data collection	1-Mar-25										1-Mar-26				1-Mar-27			
Proxy Used			S33	W32/33	S33	W32/33	S33	W32/33	S33	W32/33	S33							
PRMs approved	31-Jan-26	30-Jun-26									30-Jun-27		31-Oct-28	31-Mar-28	31-Oct-28			
Season	S27	W27-28	S28	W28-29	S29	W29-30	S30	W30-31	S31	W31-32	S32	W32-33	S33	W33-34	S34			