

Task Force Attendance:

Organization	Name
APS	Tyler Moore Brandon Holmes
NVE	Rodger Manzano Lindsey Schlekeway
BPA	Matt Hayes Jarrett Civelli
IPC	Kathy Anderson / Ben Brandt / Camille Christen
Powerex	Derek Russell Robert Gosselin
TEA	Ed Mount / Dan Williams / Laura Trolese
PSE	Phil Haines Sachi Begur Jason Miller
PGE	Teyent Gossa / Stefan Cristea
PCE Energy Solutions	Justin Shearer

Meeting Objectives

- Work session to discuss potential edits to the Concept Paper ahead of RAPC 10/16 meeting
- Proposal development next steps
- If you are a Participant, please be prepared to discuss any other issues related to this Task Force that you would like to see developed or clarified ahead of making a decision (by 10/31) to remain in the program for the Winter 27/28 Binding Season

Meeting Agenda

1. Agenda Overview
2. Concept Paper
 - Discuss any edits in response to themes raised at Closed In-Person RAPC Meeting 9/10
 - i. Alignment with long-term goal of diversity sharing across the region
 - ii. Role in the Proposal of sharing between MBOSs
 - iii. Non-market Participant allocation/selection of MBOS

Discussion:

1. RAPC Feedback & Edits Incorporated
 - Concept paper reframed to:
 - Emphasize long-term goal of moving toward single footprint, leveraging regional diversity to reduce PRM.
 - Clarify sub-regions evolving into market-based operational sub-regions while still allowing sharing within regions.
 - Acknowledge guidelines for non-market participants and how they may be assigned.

2. Transmission & Market Footprint

- Need to expand value proposition to reflect broader transmission portfolios.
- Debate on whether language should say program “will” or “could” evolve — softened to “could” for flexibility.
- Discussion on intra-market vs. inter-market sharing:
- Some argued intra-market sharing unnecessary due to existing sufficiency tests.
- Others stressed intra-market runs are critical for allocation and holdback logic.
- Consensus: retain intra-market run for now, with flexibility to revisit in future.

3. Tariff & BPM Alignment

- Preference to resolve issues through BPM edits rather than tariff filings (avoiding FERC 205 filing where possible).
- Acknowledgment that some changes (esp. inter-market sharing) could require tariff updates.

4. Non-Market Participants

- Need clear guidelines for participation and interaction.
- Agreement to flag this issue explicitly in the paper and refine before market operator engagement.

5. Language Updates

- Revised: Operations Program “structured as a product of last resort” vs. earlier “avoid market penalties.”
- Emphasis on participant flexibility in meeting obligations, with surplus participants holding delivery responsibility.

6. Tools & Mechanics

- Raise Hand Tool: Confirmed subregion-agnostic; retained for participant signaling.
- Forward showing and RSE linkage discussed as future areas for refinement.

7. Governance & Next Steps

- Recognition that inter-market sharing and forward showing will require further task force or NTFP work.
- Possible use of an NTFP (non-task force proposal) flagged for next RAPC cycle to accelerate development.

3. Red-line research update

- Decisions
 - Proceed with sending the Concept Paper to RAPC for 10/16 meeting, and post publicly.
 - Retain intra-market run in the Operations Program section.
 - Adopt language edits for flexibility and clarity (e.g., “product of last resort,” “could” instead of “will”).
- Next Steps:
 - Michael to finalize redlines aligned with concept paper structure.
 - Post clean version to RAPC SharePoint and WPP website prior to 10/16.
 - Prepare deeper dive on intra-market operations at next meeting.
 - Continue outreach for EDAM and Markets+ expertise.
 - Monitor participant commitments ahead of 10/31 binding declaration deadline.



Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force 01 - DAM Meeting

October 7th, 2025; 2:00-4:00 PPT

4. Consideration of internal/external expertise
 - N/A
5. Next meeting: October 14th 2:00-4:00 PPT

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.