

Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force - PRM Meeting

October 14th, 2025; 10am-12pm PPT

Task Force Member Attendance:

Organization	Name
APŜ	Brandon Holmes
	Xinyue Fan Narup
	Akhil Mandadi
	Tatum Bingham
IPC	Nicole Blackwell
	Andres Valdepena Delgado
	Ben Brandt
NVE	Lindsey Schlekeway
	Rodger Mazano
BPA	Anthony Lusardi
	Steve Belcoff
Powerex	Michael Goodenough
	Glen Tang
	Ryan Holyk
Tacoma	Leah Marquez Glynn
	Thad LeVar
	Carly Page
	Connor Lennon
TEA	Ed Mount
PSE	Sachi Begur
	Phil Haines
PGE	Stefan Cristea
	Devin Mounts
	Teyent Gossa

Meeting Agenda

1. Concept Paper redlines

- a. <u>Discussion:</u>
 - The final draft concept paper (redlines from Ed Mount last week) has been posted to RAPC SharePoint and the WPP website.
 - RAPC expected to endorse the document at its October 16 meeting.
 - Concept papers are not formal governance documents but serve as policy direction precursors to final Task Force Proposals.
 - Once endorsed, the paper will guide redlines to BPMs and the Tariff before proceeding through WRAP's governance process.

2. Consensus from last meetings:

- a. <u>Transition to 5-year-ahead PRM</u>
 - The task force reviewed the written summary of the agreed transition plan:
 - o PRM studies will gradually move from two-year to five-year forward periods.
 - o Transition begins in 2026 (data collected March 2026 \rightarrow studying winter 29/30 and summer 30).
 - o By the 2029 study, WRAP will reach the five-year PRM target.
 - o Proxy metrics will be used for interim years (2028–2029).
 - o 10-year advisory metrics as soon as possible.
 - Participants supported allowing one additional week (until Oct. 21) for review or objections before drafting proposal language.
- Agreement: Maya and SPP will begin preparing BPM/Tariff redlines unless objections are received.

WRAP

Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force - PRM Meeting

October 14th, 2025; 10am-12pm PPT

b. Restudy Threshold

- Consensus reaffirmed:
 - A restudy is triggered if a participant withdrawal results in a net load change ≥10% in a subregion.
 - o If the change is <10%, no restudy is needed.
 - o The threshold applies after netting new and withdrawn participants.
- Specific restudy details will be captured in other documentation (such as SPP's study scope documentation), likely not BPMs/Tariff.
- Task force agreed to document this decision for use in final proposal drafting.

3. Contingency Reserves

Overview of current practice:

- WRAP models 6% contingency reserves (as proxy for 3% on load, 3% on generation) and loss
 of load as loss of any CR.
- This differs from many regions where reserves can be deployed before load shed.
- Removing the 6% reserve from the Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) model reduces PRM by roughly 4%, not 6%.
- Comments from Participants: Maintaining alignment with operator behavior is critical to reliability consistency.

Ryan Roy (WPP COO) Update:

- WECC is advancing retirement of the "BAL-002-WECC-3" standard (3% Gen + 3% Load).
- The new Reserve Sharing Group (RSG) proposal would instead require 2× the prior year's average MSSC (~2,450 MW), allocated pro-rata to each BA.
- Expectation: lower reserve obligations without reducing reliability.
- Likely approved late 2025 or early 2026.

Next Steps:

- Task force to document proposed alignment with RSG changes.
- Future BPM/Tariff redlines could use a trigger to reference the updated RSG methodology once formally adopted.
- WPP/SPP to prepare slides summarizing the new reserve approach for review in the next meeting.

4. Next Steps & Closing

- WPP to:
 - Document decisions on five-year PRM transition, restudy thresholds, and contingency reserve alignment.
 - Prepare presentation summarizing RSG methodology and potential WRAP impacts.
- 5. Oct 21 meeting need to cancel due to attendance issues
 - Next Meeting: October 30, 2025 (Oct. 21 canceled due to low attendance).

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.



Western Resource Adequacy Program

PRC Task Force - PRM Meeting

October 14th, 2025; 10am-12pm PPT

<u>Transition to 5-year-ahead Binding PRMs</u>

Study Years

- 2025
 - o Data collection: March 1, 2025
 - o Study LOLE for S27 and W27-28
- 2026
 - o Data collection: March 1, 2026
 - Study LOLE for W29-30 and S30
 - o S28 and S29 use S30 as proxy (use S30 PRMs for S28 and S29).
 - o W28-29 use W29-30 as proxy
 - Advisory collect data and set advisory PRMs for W39-40 and S40 (i.e., 10-year-out) this continues for all future years
- 2027 reevaluate transition timeline for 2027 and 2028 studies, as this timeline could move faster than default
 - o Data collection: March 1, 2027
 - o Default:
 - Study LOLE for W31-32 and S32
 - S32 as proxy for S31
 - W31-32 as proxy for W30-31
- 2028 follow timeline as set in 2027
 - o Data collection: March 1, 2028
 - o Default:
 - Study LOLE for W33-34 and S34
 - S34 as proxy for S33
 - W33-34 as proxy for W32-33
- 2029
 - o Data collection: March 1, 2029
 - o Study LOLE for W35-36 and S36
 - o Can use W35-36 and S36 for prior season proxies as needed
 - o At latest, this study year would reach the 5-year-ahead timeline

Restudy Thresholds

IF Participant(s) withdraw and sum of (withdrawing load - additional load from any new Participant(s)) is less than 10% of originally studied load in that Subregion, THEN no restudy needed. ELSE restudy will be done for advisory metrics.

Document of specific re-study sub-thresholds should be documented and final proposal should note where it will be documented.