Western Resource Adequacy Program
PRC Task Force – ELCC+QCC Meeting
March 9th, 2026; 12-1pm PPT

Entity	Name
APS	Akhil Mandadi
Idaho	Nicole Blackwell
NVE	Rodger Manzano Lindsey Schlekeway
BPA	Steve Belcoff
Powerex	Ryan Holyk
PSE	Sachi Begur
SRP	Jon Cook
PGE	Stefan Cristea Teyent Gossa
Tacoma	Connor Lennon
Form	Mark Thompson Lily Mwalenga
Interwest	Ben Flitch-Fleischmann
Nextera	Jeffrey Collins

Meeting Agenda

1. ELCC Problem Statements
   a. Dig into WHY you want a change regarding ELCC
   b. What is the goal you are trying to achieve by making a change?
   c. We'll focus on defining the problem statements in this meeting
   d. Future meetings will focus on solutions

Meeting Notes:

1. Overview
The task force met to discuss problem statements related to ELCC values and better understand the reasons participants are interested in potential changes. The focus of this meeting was on identifying goals and concerns, not developing solutions.

Noted that the task force currently includes two separate workstreams:

- QCC for new long-duration storage – being developed separately with the change request sponsor.
- ELCC methodology and implementation considerations – the primary focus of this discussion.
  Future meetings will explore potential solutions once the problem statements are clearly defined.

2. Key Discussion Themes

Planning Stability and Predictability
Several participants emphasized the importance of predictable ELCC values for planning purposes, particularly for resource procurement and forward showing compliance. Significant changes in ELCC values between planning cycles could create unexpected procurement needs or planning challenges.

Accuracy vs. Stability
Participants agreed that accuracy remains the primary objective of the ELCC methodology. However, there is interest in identifying approaches that improve predictability and reduce volatility, while maintaining modeling accuracy.

Planning Use of ELCC Values
Participants noted that ELCC values inform:
- Forward Showing compliance
- Procurement planning decisions/Long-term resource planning

Average vs. Incremental ELCC
The discussion included questions about whether the current average ELCC framework appropriately signals the reliability value of new resources, particularly as resource penetration increases.

FERC Considerations
- Participants referenced a recent FERC ruling related to ELCC treatment by vintage in PJM.
- Staff noted the importance of understanding this precedent before pursuing any design approaches that could be considered discriminatory.

Participant Perspectives
Most participants indicated they do not have a specific proposal at this time but are participating to:
- Monitor potential changes to ELCC methodology
- Understand modeling assumptions and future program direction
- Evaluate potential impacts on planning and resource development

Areas of particular interest included:
- Battery storage ELCC modeling
- Timing and predictability of QCC values
- Long-term planning considerations

Key outcomes:
Problem statements highlighted these 3 questions:
1. Low penetration of ESRs and how ELCCs will change
2. How we allocate ELCC
3. Calculation of the total ELCC to be allocated

Principles:
Balancing stability, predictability, and accuracy

3. Next Steps
- WPP will continue reviewing FERC precedent and ELCC design considerations.
- Future meetings will begin exploring potential solutions based on the problem statements identified.
- Additional materials will be circulated ahead of the next meeting.