



# Western Resource Adequacy Program

## RAPC Meeting

Mach 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025; 10am-12pm Pacific Time

### Attendance:

Participant	Name	Participant	Name
APS	Mike Eugenis, Tyler Moore	PacifiCorp	Nadia Kranz, Ben Faulkinberry
Avista	Morgan Stach, Kevin Holland	PGE	Stefan Cristea, Teyent Gossa
BPA	Michelle Cathcart, Nita Zimmerman, Steve Bellcoff as proxy	Powerex	Mike Goodenough
Calpine	Bill Goddard	PNM	John Mayhew, Tome Duane
Chelan	Jeff Johnson	PSE	Phil Haines, Sachi Begur
Clatskanie	Chris Roden	SRP	Grant Smedley, Michael Reynolds
Constellation	Heidi Hawkins, Tisha Agha	SCL	Mara Kontos, Siobhan Doherty
EWEB	Jon Hart, Megan Capper	Shell	Greg Macdonald
Grant	Mike Bradshaw, Lisa Stites	Tacoma	Ray Johnson, Leah Marquez-Glynn
Idaho	Ben Brandt, Camille Christen	TEA	Ed Mount
NorthWestern	Tom Michelotti, Joe Stimatz, Tucker Kramer	TEP	Lauren Briggs
NV Energy	Lindsey Schlekeway, Rodger Manzano, Vernon Taylor as Proxy		

### Meeting Objectives

1. Provide the RAPC with updates on project progress
2. Seek RAPC input on progress and any administrative actions

### Meeting Agenda

Call to Order			
10:00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attendance</li> <li>- Anti-trust Statement</li> <li>- Approved Agenda</li> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">Motion, seconded. Minutes approved.</li> <li>- Approved Minutes from last meeting</li> <li>- PSE motioned, Northwestern seconded. 2/20 Minutes approved.</li> </ul>	APPROVE	Chair
PA/PO Report			
10:05	- None	Inform	WPP/SPP
Ongoing Business			
10:05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Workgroup Updates</b></li> <li>- <u>Forward Showing Workgroup – Danie W.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Summer 2026 validation activities are in the post-cure phase.</li> <li>o Deficiency letters expected by April 14.</li> <li>o Winter 2026–2027 EDST window open for Forward Showing submissions; deadline March 31.</li> <li>o SPP provided an overview of Winter 2027 draft modeling updates; final results expected March 31.</li> <li>o Summer 2028 Advance Assessment data request completed; participant review window Apr 1–Apr 15.</li> <li>o Next Forward Showing Workgroup meeting scheduled March 24.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- <u>Operations Workgroup – Patrick F.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Operations client release notes posted, including quality-of-life improvements.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Discuss	WPP/SPP/Chair



# Western Resource Adequacy Program

## RAPC Meeting

Mach 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025; 10am-12pm Pacific Time

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Ops client training scheduled for March 24; invitation distributed to participants.</li> </ul>		
10:08	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Task Forces</b></li> <li>– <u>Demand Response</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Draft proposal under review by the Task Force; includes BPM 105 redlines.</li> <li>○ Methodology uses 10-year Capacity Critical Hour (CCH) dataset to calculate monthly QCC values subject to operational constraints such as:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Dispatch limits</li> <li>● Blackout periods</li> <li>● Fatigue factors</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Proposal aims to replace the current seasonal claim-based QCC approach with a more granular and accurate monthly methodology.</li> <li>○ Proposal expected to be submitted to PRC for completeness review later this month.</li> </ul> </li> <li>– <u>ELCC &amp; QCC</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Task Force reviewing problem statements from participating entities.</li> <li>○ 12 entities participating (including three non-participants).</li> <li>○ Key themes identified:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Low penetration of energy storage resources (ESRs) in some subregions.</li> <li>● Method for determining total ELCC capacity value for a system or subregion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Allocation of ELCC values to individual resources.</li> <li>○ Task Force also evaluating QCC accreditation for new long-duration storage resources without historical data.</li> <li>○ Discussions balancing stability, predictability, and accuracy of ELCC values.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Inform	WPP
10:15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>PRM Task Force – timeline discussion</b></li> <li>○ PRM Task Force requested RAPC feedback regarding alignment of withdrawal deadlines with PRM modeling timelines.</li> <li>○ Current program design:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Withdrawal notice: 2 years prior to season.</li> <li>● Binding PRM currently set approximately 9 months before the Forward Showing deadline.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Task Force proposal being considered would set binding PRMs 5 years in advance, which could introduce misalignment if participants withdraw after PRMs are established.</li> </ul> <p><u>RAPC Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Some participants expressed concern that extending the withdrawal notice period beyond two years would:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Increase risk exposure to large deficiency charges.</li> <li>● Create barriers to program participation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Other participants noted the importance of maintaining accuracy in PRM metrics, particularly if large entities withdraw after metrics are set.</li> </ul>	Discuss	WPP



# Western Resource Adequacy Program

## RAPC Meeting

Mach 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025; 10am-12pm Pacific Time

	<p><u>RAPC Feedback</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ General sentiment was not supportive of extending the withdrawal notice period beyond two years at this time.</li> <li>○ Participants indicated openness to alternative approaches, including:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Adjusting the PRM modeling timeline.</li> <li>● Identifying other mitigation strategies to balance accuracy and predictability.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><u>Next Steps</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ PRM Task Force will review RAPC feedback and determine whether adjustments to the proposal are appropriate before advancing the proposal through governance.</li> </ul>		
10:35	<p>– <b>Draft 2026 PRC Workplan Update</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ PRC finalized a draft 2026 Workplan and schedule, incorporating feedback from RAPC discussions.</li> <li>○ Draft Workplan will be posted for public comment March 15 – April 15.</li> <li>○ PRC will review and respond to comments before forwarding the workplan to the Board of Directors in May, with Board consideration expected in June.</li> </ul> <p><u>Key Workplan Highlights</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reduced number of concurrent task forces to two major workstreams to manage workload.</li> <li>○ Continued coordination with remaining 2025 workplan items, including:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Day-Ahead Market Task Force reboot.</li> <li>● PRM-related issues.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Exploration of regional transmission as capacity, potentially beginning with a policy-focused workgroup and white paper.</li> </ul>	Inform	WPP
<b>New Business</b>			
	– None		
<b>External Affairs</b>			
	– None		
<b>Good of the Order</b>			
10:51	– Participant topics requests for next meeting	Discuss	Chair
<b>Closed RAPC</b>			
	– None		
<b>Upcoming</b>			
10:53	– <b>Next meeting(s):</b> – March 26	Inform	WPP
<b>Meeting Adjourned</b>			

**Current Participants:** APS, Avista; BPA; Calpine; Chelan; Clatskanie; Constellation; EWEB; Grant; Idaho Power; NorthWestern; NV Energy; PacifiCorp; PGE; Powerex; PNM; PSE; SRP; SCL; Shell; Tacoma Power, The Energy Authority, Tucson Electric Power.

**WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.**