

### Agenda

#### 1. Discuss Indicative ELCC at future penetrations proposal

- Task Force discussed replacing the current +3 / +6 / +9 saturation studies with advisory ELCC metrics aligned with future planning horizons (per PRM TF).
- General support for the concept, with participants noting it would provide more meaningful planning information while maintaining a similar level of analytical effort.
- Discussion focused on whether advisory studies should include:
  - Average ELCC
  - Incremental ELCC
  - Marginal ELCC
- Participants generally supported providing additional advisory information to improve long-term resource planning, while balancing the value of the information against the effort required to produce it.
- WPP will continue refining the proposal and incorporate it into the broader ELCC-by-cohort proposal.
- Timelines on slides below.

#### 2. Introduce Concept Paper

- WPP and APS are developing a concept paper to document the ELCC-by-cohort proposal discussed by the Task Force.
- The paper is intended to capture discussions to date, identify remaining policy questions, and serve as a working document before drafting a formal proposal.
- Several edge cases have already been identified through the drafting process and will require additional Task Force discussion.
- This is not an official WRAP governance document. The Task Force will develop an official proposal.

#### 3. Continue discussing the list of questions from last meeting

##### Operational Data Requirement

- Continued discussion on the proposal to classify resources into new and existing cohorts.
- General support remained for:
  - Requiring one full season of operational data before a resource may transition to the existing-resource cohort.
  - Allowing participants to elect to remain in the new-resource cohort if first-season data is not representative of expected long-term performance.
  - Requiring transition to the existing-resource cohort after a second qualifying season of operational data.

##### Treatment of Operational Data

- Discussion identified additional questions regarding what constitutes "existing operational data."
- Participants discussed how outage data, proxy data, and missing data are currently treated within ELCC studies.
- The group agreed additional discussion with SPP is needed to better understand current modeling practices before developing policy language.

##### Existing Resources from New Participants

- General agreement that resources joining WRAP with sufficient operational history should be treated as existing resources, rather than new resources, regardless of participant status.

##### Resource Upgrades

- Discussed how to treat existing resources that undergo significant upgrades (e.g., turbine replacements).
- Initial support for treating only the upgraded portion as a new resource until sufficient operational data is available, after which the resource could be recombined.



# Western Resource Adequacy Program

## PRC Task Force – ELCC+QCC Meeting

June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2026; 12-1pm PPT


- No final recommendation was made.


### Marginal ELCC

- Continued discussion on how future advisory studies should evaluate marginal ELCC.
- Participants discussed whether marginal studies should:
  - Evaluate a standard increment (e.g., 100 MW).
  - Be based on participant resource plans.
  - Extend beyond planned resource additions to provide additional planning insight.
- No consensus was reached; additional refinement is needed

### 4. QCC proposal reminder - comment deadline 7/1

**WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.**





Using projected WRAP footprint as collected for the FSPRM studies