



# Western Resource Adequacy Program

## PRC Task Force – ELCC+QCC Meeting

July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2026; 12-1pm PPT

### Agenda

#### 1. QCC proposal

##### Public Comments

- Public comment period closed with two sets of comments:
  - Non-participant stakeholder group (submitted by Mark Thompson) expressed support and requested no changes.
  - BPA submitted comments supporting the proposal with no requested revisions.
- Since no changes were requested during public comment, the proposal has advanced to the COSR comment period.

##### Next Steps

- COSR comment period is open through July 20.
- If no substantive changes are requested, the proposal will be presented to the PRC on July 22 to begin the formal approval process through PRC, RAPC, and ultimately the Board.

#### 2. Indicative ELCC at future penetrations proposal

##### How to Address New Resources

- Discussed how new resources should be treated in the 3-year and 5-year advisory ELCC studies before they have sufficient operational history.
- Two approaches were considered:
  - Option A: Assign resources to the tranche they are expected to receive in the future binding study once sufficient operational data exists.
  - Option B: Base tranche assignment on the operational data available at the time the advisory study is performed.
- Initial discussion generally favored Option A, as it would provide advisory results that better reflect future binding accreditation and improve long-term planning.
- Members agreed additional review is warranted before reaching a final recommendation.

#### 3. Continue discussing the list of questions from last meeting

##### Exiting Participants

- Discussed whether special treatment is needed for exiting participants or retiring resources.
- Initial consensus was that no special methodology changes appear necessary beyond ensuring resources and participants are removed from future studies based on their retirement or exit dates.

##### Marginal ELCC

- Continued discussion on the appropriate methodology for evaluating the "next marginal resource."
- Discussed whether the current approach (e.g., +3 GW, +6 GW, +9 GW studies) remains appropriate, particularly for more saturated subregions.
- Considered evaluating smaller incremental additions to provide more meaningful results.
- SPP shared that current future penetration studies allocate incremental capacity proportionally across resource zones.
- WPP/SPP will develop a more specific proposal for discussion at a future meeting.

**WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.**