

Agenda

1. QCC proposal

- COSR comments were supportive of the long-duration storage QCC proposal.
- COSR requested a reasonableness review of synthesized data once a resource has one full year of operational data.
- Proposed BPM language would allow SPP to adjust synthesized data if it materially overstates or understates actual resource output.
- No objections were raised to the proposed revision.
- The proposal will continue through PRC, RAPC, and Board review, targeting the September Board meeting and implementation for the early 2027 Advanced Assessment

2. Sufficient Data

a. SPP presentation

SPP reviewed the current treatment of historical profiles for wind, solar, and run-of-river resources:

- Participants generally provide ten years of historical output data for new resources.
- Data is grouped by resource type and VER zone or subregion.
- Negative values are replaced with zero, output above nameplate is capped, and extended periods of missing or zero data may be replaced with synthesized data.
- The resulting historical and synthesized dataset is used consistently for Capacity Critical Hours and QCC calculations.
- Run-of-river data receives different treatment because extended zero-output periods may represent actual operating conditions.

b. Discussion

- Participants questioned whether known outage or commissioning periods should be replaced with synthesized data.
- General direction was to place greater responsibility on participants to explain extended periods of zero or missing output.
- The data request instructions would distinguish between:
 - Metering or telemetry gaps: may be replaced using participant-provided engineered data or SPP-created synthesized data.
 - Actual outages, derates, or commissioning periods: remain reflected as actual zero or reduced output.
- The group did not support establishing a fixed minimum percentage of available data for the first season.
- Participants may elect to use first-season data even if it contains substantial outages, recognizing that this may reduce the resource's accreditation.
- Use of operational data would become mandatory after the second qualifying season.
- Related changes will be incorporated into the data request instruction manual.

3. Revisiting topics from 7/6 meeting

Indicative ELCC at Future Penetrations

The Task Force revisited how resources should be classified in future advisory ELCC studies when they are expected to have sufficient operational data by the applicable binding season.

- Option A: Classify the resource based on its expected status during the future binding season.
- Option B: Classify the resource based only on the data available when the advisory study is performed.

General support emerged for Option A, because it is expected to provide a more useful indication of future accreditation and portfolio-planning outcomes.

The proposal should clearly distinguish this treatment from marginal ELCC analysis for additional resources beyond the planned portfolio.

4. Marginal ELCC Proposal

- The marginal ELCC proposal was not discussed due to time constraints.
- WPP and SPP have developed a proposed approach and supporting slides for discussion at the next meeting.

5. Additional Questions

- Task Force members were asked to review the ELCC concept paper and submit additional questions or issues for discussion.
- WPP will begin converting the concept paper into a formal proposal.
- Any action at the upcoming PRC meeting would relate only to the long-duration storage QCC proposal, not the broader ELCC proposal.

4. Next Steps:

- WPP: Incorporate COSR's synthesized-data review provision into the QCC proposal.
- WPP/SPP: Draft revisions to data request instructions distinguishing missing data from actual outages and commissioning periods.
- WPP: Reflect Task Force support for Option A in the final proposal
- WPP/SPP: Present the marginal ELCC proposal at the next meeting.
- Task Force members: Review the concept paper and submit remaining questions or edge cases.

WPP forums will not foster or allow communications or practices that violate antitrust laws. Please avoid discussion of topics that would result in anti-competitive behavior, including but not limited to: availability of or terms of services and sales, design of products, price setting, or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition.