



Western Power Pool 2030 Low Carbon, Extreme Weather Study Scope

October 6, 2022

Objective

The 2030 Low Carbon, Extreme Weather study (“Study”) is an effort by a group of transmission owners and transmission planners operating in four western U.S. states and the province of Alberta to evaluate issues of common interest, which can best be studied jointly. The objective of the Study is to identify whether near-term transmission constraints exist under low carbon resource requirements and extreme weather. If constraints exist, the Study will identify solutions that may be implemented by 2030.

The participants initiated this Study as a way to facilitate joint sharing of information, increase the efficiency of the planning process in addressing longer-term outlook transmission requirements, and communicate to impacted utility planners, utility operators and regional stakeholders any identified concerns and potential solutions.

Relationship to Other Study Efforts

The purpose of the Study is to evaluate specific conditions and scenarios that are not otherwise already studied through other coordinated efforts. While the participants may freely utilize the Study results to inform other planning analyses, the Study is not intended to fulfill or replace any other transmission planning or resource planning requirements. Specifically, this Study will not address the full suite of NERC TPL-001-4 / TPL-001-5 requirements and Study results are provided in addition to, but not replacing, the participants’ FERC Order 890 and 1000 regional planning requirements and NorthernGrid Enrolled Party tariffs. This Study in no way obligates NorthernGrid members to perform future studies as described in this Study scope document.

This Study is not a resource adequacy or economic congestion study. While a goal of the Study is to provide additional context around transmission and resource issues during extreme and highly constrained conditions, the Study is information in nature only and will not result in a regional transmission, local transmission, action, or construction plan.

Study Participants

The Study Participants are Avista, Bonneville Power Administration, Chelan PUD, Montana-Alberta Tie Line (MATL), Idaho Power, NorthWestern Energy, Portland General Electric, Puget Sound Energy, Seattle City Light, Snohomish PUD and Tacoma Power.

Stakeholder Participation

Study participants seek to engage state utility commissions, neighboring utilities and other stakeholders in scope development, assumptions, draft results and proposed solutions through multiple workshops. The goal of stakeholder participation is to help focus, inform and enhance the Study.

Study Horizon

The Study selected a planning year of 2030 to include expected clean energy public policy requirements and expected public policy driven electrification of carbon emitting sectors such as, water and space



37 heating along with transportation. Load forecasting assumptions will include any known or expected
38 customer preference assumptions (e.g. electric ferries, buses, aviation). The Study will also incorporate
39 best estimates of demand side management, time of use pricing and smart charging that are anticipated
40 to be implemented.

41 **Planned Projects**

42 Transmission projects with in-service dates prior to 2030 will be evaluated for inclusion or exclusion from
43 the initial case by the utility or utilities most impacted by the project. Known projects from neighboring
44 utilities outside of the Study footprint will be similarly evaluated. Projects with in-service dates of 2030 or
45 later will be initially offline or removed from cases and evaluated as potential mitigation.

46 Participant Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) preferred portfolio resources, including resource additions and
47 retirements, will be evaluated for inclusion or exclusion from the initial case by each respective
48 participant. The assumed initial case resources will be documented in the Study report. Resource
49 additions or retirements with action dates of 2030 or later will not be initially modeled but may be
50 evaluated as potential mitigation. Future resources without specific siting locations in IRPs may be
51 modeled at representative buses as determined by Study participants. Any such model assumptions used
52 in the Study will not be indicative of preferred siting, ease of interconnection or feasibility of
53 interconnection.

54 **Low Carbon Assumptions**

55 The Study will incorporate Public Policy requirements and goals such as Washington CETA and Oregon HB
56 2021, along with individual utility IRP goals and Load & Resource Forecasts, to represent a low carbon
57 future for 2030. As a result of the combined requirements and goals, it is anticipated that electrification
58 of vehicles and heating sources will have a significant impact on load profiles and distribution, as well as
59 changing the coincidence of load peaks across the wider system. The assumptions used in the Study will
60 also incorporate increased inverter-based resource interconnections, distributed energy resources,
61 energy efficiency and demand-side management.

62 **Scenarios**

63 **Extreme Heat**

64 The Study will evaluate an extreme heat scenario representing a heat dome event on the west side of the
65 Cascades ("Pacific NW"), concurrent with a widespread peak summer condition in the intermountain
66 ("Inland") region. This scenario case will be developed by modifying the WECC 32HS1a power flow base
67 case to represent 2030 projected load conditions based on a combination of historic load data and
68 stressed (e.g. 1-in-20) utility load forecasts.

69 In the extreme heat scenario, an imbalance of wind between the Pacific NW and Inland regions will be
70 represented, with minimal wind in the Pacific NW and high wind in select locations throughout the Inland
71 region. Within heavy wind areas, more extreme contingencies may be considered due to potential for
72 forced outages. A low hydro (10th percentile) river availability will be modeled using BPA's power planning
73 models and other data sources to supplement and/or replace ADS hydro assumptions. The Study will also
74 aim to identify any resources that may have restricted or limited output due to the extreme temperatures,
75 particularly wind turbines and solar generation facilities that have cut out ratings at or below 40° C (104°
76 F).



77 Operationally “always credible” contingencies will be simulated to validate the performance of the system
78 prior to, and following, any proposed system reinforcements. These contingencies will include single
79 transmission lines and transformers, as well as select bus, breaker, overlapping contingencies and
80 common-mode failure contingencies.

81 Transmission lines and transformers may be evaluated with reduced ratings, as determined by each
82 individual transmission provider’s facility ratings practice, for extreme temperature. These derates will be
83 represented in the Study as alarming at a lower threshold. Facility ratings assumptions used in the Study
84 will be documented in the report.

85 **Extreme Cold**

86 The Study will evaluate an extreme cold scenario representing an intense cold snap event in the Pacific
87 NW, concurrent with a widespread peak winter condition in the Inland region. This scenario case will be
88 developed by modifying the WECC 32HW1a1 power flow base case to represent 2029-30 projected load
89 conditions based on a combination of historic load data and stressed (e.g. 1-in-20) utility load forecasts.

90 In the extreme cold scenario, the Pacific NW will be modeled at a light-wind condition. Historic records
91 for wind coincidence with cold temperatures will be modeled for Alberta, Montana, Idaho and
92 surrounding Inland regions. A low hydro (10th percentile) river availability will be modeled using BPA’s
93 power planning models and other data sources to supplement and/or replace ADS hydro assumptions.
94 This represents a system condition where the Study footprint is potentially reliant on significant imports
95 from surrounding regions and provides the opportunity to evaluate the impacts of that reliance. The Study
96 will also aim to identify any resources that may have restricted or limited output due to the extreme cold
97 temperatures.

98 The Study will also seek to include any other lessons learned or issues identified for recent Texas events
99 such as planned maintenance practices and generation availability. The Study may also evaluate impacts
100 due to a lack of availability of natural gas (both generation facilities and natural gas pipeline availability)
101 during the extreme cold, to the extent the system could still operate.

102 Operationally “always credible” contingencies will be simulated to validate the performance of the system
103 prior to, and following, any proposed system reinforcements. These contingencies will include single
104 transmission lines and transformers, as well as select bus, breaker and common-mode failure
105 contingencies. The Study will additionally evaluate select regionally significant extreme contingencies,
106 based on historical data or other known risks, to simulate more extreme events such as ice storms.

107 Transmission lines and transformers may be evaluated with either increased or decreased ratings, as
108 determined by each individual transmission provider’s facility ratings practice, for the extreme
109 temperature and wind conditions. Facility ratings assumptions used in the Study will be documented in
110 the report.

111 **Wildfire Events**

112 The Study will evaluate the potential impacts of widespread wildfire events following proactive Public
113 Safety Power Shutoff (“PSPS”) measures and extreme outage conditions. The purpose of this analysis will
114 be to evaluate system integrity on a grid-level, the ability to continue to operate the grid following next
115 contingencies and the risk of Cascading, islanding and uncontrolled separation. The Study will assume that
116 local system restoration may be significantly delayed resulting in significant extended customer impacts.
117 This Study will consider impacts on resource availability and the ability to reliably operate in the post-
118 event state. Importantly, the Study may not address locally significant impacts or the effectiveness of
119 individual utility PSPS plans.



120 Smog produced by wildfire may cause a temperature cooling effect in some locations. The wildfire case
121 will be developed by modifying the WECC 32HS1a power flow base case to represent 2030 projected 80th
122 percentile loads, or as appropriate based on SCADA and state estimator snapshots of recent historic
123 events. This may be approached as a modification of the Extreme Heat case using simple scaling of loads.

124 Utility records, WECC reporting and other sources for historical information will be consulted for
125 transmission lines and facilities that have been taken out as PSPS and as result of wildfire damages or
126 power system constraints.

127 Based on recent wildfire events the Study will evaluate a minimum of two extreme outage condition
128 scenarios. Additional scenarios may be evaluated as determined by Study participants and based on
129 results seen in the extreme heat analysis.

130 Scenario 1: Forced outage of the Cross-Cascades transmission lines approaching BPA Ostrander
131 substation.

- 132 • Simulate operationally “always credible” contingencies, determine how much of I-5
133 thermal generation is required to maintain reliable load service.

134
135 Scenario 2: Forced outage of the Cross-Cascades transmission lines approaching BPA Marion
136 substation out of service (such as occurred in September 2020).

- 137 • Simulate operationally “always credible” contingencies, determine how much of I-5
138 thermal generation is required to maintain reliable load service

139 Existing Data Analysis

140 The participants will determine the extreme load level to be modeled by season. SCADA historical data
141 and state estimator snapshots may be used as data sources to help inform the baseline case assumptions.
142 Then the WECC 2032HS1, 2031-32HW1, and 2033LSP1 load levels will be analyzed and adjusted to the
143 agreed extreme. Resources identified in the WECC 2022 Load and Resource data submission that have
144 been added to buses in 2032ADS-Seed_Case will be dispatched based on 1.) the Production Cost Model
145 (PCM) resource dispatch matching the power flow case hours, or 2.) a dispatch level specified by the
146 participants.

147 Topology

- 148 • As determined by each transmission provider, the Study may consider evaluating certain existing
149 planned projects as not being in-service initially, and then evaluating the ability to bring such
150 projects online if the Study shows a need.
- 151 • Any planned generation facility retirements or modifications included in utility IRPs for 2030 will
152 be included in the Study. If the Study identifies system constraints resulting from these planned
153 generation facility retirements or modifications during extreme conditions, the constraints will be
154 documented and potential mitigation options identified.
- 155 • The Study will consider preferred portfolio resources in 2032ADS-Seed_Case offline initially if case
156 can accommodate and may need to model these preferred portfolio resources online in the initial
157 case due to gas and wind resource availability assumptions. The Study will model transmission
158 upgrades needed to integrate these preferred portfolio resources with the broader transmission
159 grid and document these assumed integration upgrades, but will not seek to determine or model
160 specific generation facility interconnection requirements.



161 **Stressed Conditions**

- 162 • The Study will consider appropriate interchanges with California and British Columbia based on
- 163 historic data and entitlement obligations. This may include reduced exports in line with historic
- 164 peak conditions and any expected changes due to continued energy policy needs. The area
- 165 interchange assumptions and adjustments made to areas external to the Study footprint will be
- 166 documented in the Study. While this is not a resource adequacy Study, the Study will seek to
- 167 identify transmission constraints driven by resource availability internal to the Study footprint.
- 168 Any potential need for increased reliance on neighboring systems will be documented and
- 169 mitigation options internal to the Study footprint will be identified as alternatives to this increased
- 170 reliance.
- 171 • Historic data sources for loads and resources may include all or some of the following:
- 172 ○ PCM data to determine high coincidence conditions.
- 173 ○ SCADA, PI-historian and other historical data records from participants
- 174 ○ Temperature data from NOAA and other national weather data sources.

175 **Identification of Transmission Mitigations and Solutions**

176 The participants will propose transmission solutions to resolve reliability issues and transmission

177 availability constraints. Transmission mitigations available by 2030 may include, but are not limited to,

178 transmission rebuilds within existing rights-of-way, transformer additions/replacements, bus

179 reconfigurations and upgrades, other flow control measures. The Study may also identify planned

180 resource retirements or modifications that could result in reliability issues during the evaluated

181 conditions. If the Study identifies system constraints resulting from these planned generation facility

182 retirements or modifications during extreme conditions potential mitigation options may include, but are

183 not limited to, resource additions, resource replacement or transmission reinforcement. Additionally, the

184 ability to charge energy storage solutions will be evaluated to determine if the transmission system is

185 adequate to both deliver power during peak times and supply storage resources during other hours.

186 The Study may also help to identify further transmission solutions that could provide longer-term

187 mitigation but may require additional time beyond 2030 to fully plan, design, permit and construct.

188 The proposed mitigations and solutions will be evaluated in the scenarios for effectiveness and limitations.

189 Stakeholder input will be sought on the proposed solutions and used to form the Study results and

190 reporting.



191 **Major Study Milestones**

192 The Study will focus first on evaluation of the extreme heat and extreme cold scenarios and will use the
193 results of those analyses to inform the model assumptions of the wildfire scenario.

194 **Scoping**

- 195 • Initial Stakeholder Engagement Workshop: August 18, 2022
- 196 • Draft Scope: September 8, 2022
- 197 • Stakeholder Scoping Workshop: September 22, 2022
- 198 • Pre-Final Study Scope: October 6, 2022
- 199 • Final Study Scope: October 20, 2022

200 **Extreme Summer and Extreme Winter**

- 201 • Initial Case Development: November 2022
- 202 • Initial Results: December 2022
- 203 • Develop Initial Mitigation Solutions: January 2023
- 204 • Stakeholder Workshop on Initial Results and Proposed Solutions: February 2023
- 205 • Analysis with Proposed Solutions: March 2023
- 206 • Draft Final Results and Report Workshop: April 2023
- 207 • Final Report: May 2023

208 **Wildfire**

- 209 • Develop Case from Extreme Summer Scenario: March 2023
- 210 • Initial Results, Develop Initial Mitigation Plans: May 2023
- 211 • Stakeholder Workshop on Initial Results and Mitigation Plans: June 2023
- 212 • Final Analysis: July 2023
- 213 • Draft Final Results and Report Workshop: August 2023
- 214 • Final Report: September 2023